

Variance Premium, Downside Risk, and Expected Stock Returns

Bruno Feunou
Bank of Canada

Ricardo Lopez Aliouchkin
Syracuse University

Roméo Tédongap*
ESSEC Business School

Lai Xu
Syracuse University

XVIII Brazilian Finance Meeting
FECAP - São Paulo · July 19-21, 2018

Motivation and findings

Motivation

➤ Variance uncertainty

- Stochastic volatility literature

➤ Downside risk versus upside potential

- Roy (1952), Markowitz (1952), etc.

➤ Variance decomposition

- Feunou, Jahan-Parvar, and Tédongap (2013), Patton and Sheppard (2015), etc.

Motivation

- ➔ Growing literature on variance risk premium (VRP)
 - Carr and Wu (2009), Bollerslev, Tauchen, and Zhou (2009), etc.

- ➔ VRP decomposition and substantial improvements of our understanding of equity risk premium time series variation
 - Kilic and Shaliastovich (forthcoming), Feunou, Jahan-Parvar, and Okou (forthcoming), etc.

- ➔ How about VRP decomposition and cross-sectional variation in expected returns?

What we do

- ➔ Decompose total variance into bad and good components

$$r_{t-1,t} = \sum_{j=1}^{1/\delta} r_{t-1+j\delta}$$

$$r_{t-1,t}^2 \neq \sum_{j=1}^{1/\delta} r_{t-1+j\delta}^2 = RV_{t-1,t}$$

$$r_{t-1,t}^2 = r_{t-1,t}^2 \mathbb{I}(r_{t-1,t} < 0) + r_{t-1,t}^2 \mathbb{I}(r_{t-1,t} \geq 0)$$

where

$$r_{t-1,t}^2 \mathbb{I}(r_{t-1,t} < 0) \neq \sum_{j=1}^{1/\delta} r_{t-1+j\delta}^2 \mathbb{I}(r_{t-1+j\delta} < 0) = RV_{t-1,t}^b$$

$$r_{t-1,t}^2 \mathbb{I}(r_{t-1,t} \geq 0) \neq \sum_{j=1}^{1/\delta} r_{t-1+j\delta}^2 \mathbb{I}(r_{t-1+j\delta} \geq 0) = RV_{t-1,t}^g$$

What we do

➔ Measure premiums associated with variances' fluctuations

$$\begin{aligned} VRP_t &\equiv \mathbb{E}_t^Q [r_{t,t+1}^2] - \mathbb{E}_t [r_{t,t+1}^2] \\ &= Cov_t (Q_{t,t+1}, r_{t,t+1}^2) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} VRP_t^b &\equiv \mathbb{E}_t^Q [r_{t,t+1}^2 \mathbb{I}(r_{t,t+1} < 0)] - \mathbb{E}_t [r_{t,t+1}^2 \mathbb{I}(r_{t,t+1} < 0)] \\ &= Cov_t (Q_{t,t+1}, r_{t,t+1}^2 \mathbb{I}(r_{t,t+1} < 0)) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} VRP_t^g &\equiv \mathbb{E}_t [r_{t,t+1}^2 \mathbb{I}(r_{t,t+1} \geq 0)] - \mathbb{E}_t^Q [r_{t,t+1}^2 \mathbb{I}(r_{t,t+1} \geq 0)] \\ &= Cov_t (-Q_{t,t+1}, r_{t,t+1}^2 \mathbb{I}(r_{t,t+1} \geq 0)) \end{aligned}$$

- Bad VRP \approx degree to which downside risk may become extreme
- Good VRP \approx degree to which upside potential may shrink

What we do

- ➔ Cost/benefit analysis of total VRP (net effect)

$$VRP_t = VRP_t^b - VRP_t^g$$

- ➔ Asymmetry risk premium or ARP (cumulative effect)

$$RA_{t-1,t} \equiv r_{t-1,t}^2 \mathbb{I}(r_{t-1,t} \geq 0) - r_{t-1,t}^2 \mathbb{I}(r_{t-1,t} < 0)$$

$$ARP_t \equiv \mathbb{E}_t [RA_{t,t+1}] - \mathbb{E}_t^Q [RA_{t,t+1}]$$

$$= VRP_t^b + VRP_t^g$$

What we do

- ➔ Analyze the cross-sectional relationship between VRP and expected stock returns
 - Portfolio sorts
 - Cross-sectional regressions
 - Control for systematic (regular and downside) risk
 - Control for other firm characteristics

Main findings

- ➔ Bad variance risk premium is important economically: in the cross-section, its one-standard deviation increase is associated with an up to 24% rise in annualized expected excess returns.
- ➔ Simultaneously going long stocks with high and short stocks with low bad variance risk premium yields an annualized risk-adjusted expected excess return of 27%.
- ➔ Results remain significant in double-sort strategies and cross-sectional regressions controlling for systematic risk and other firm characteristics.

Outline

Data and measures

Empirical facts

Summary

Data and Measures

Stock data

- Individual daily equity and S&P500 returns from the CRSP database
- Daily risk-free rate, market, size, value, and other pricing factors from Ken French's data library
- Market capitalization and book value from CRSP and Compustat, respectively
- Usual filters applied
- Stock data from January 1994 to December 2015
- Empirical analysis over January 1996 to December 2015

Option data

- Individual equity option prices from the IvyDB OptionMetrics (January 1996 - December 2015)
- Usual filters applied: Carr and Wu (2009), Conrad, Dittmar, and Ghysels (2013), Bakshi, Kapadia, and Madan (2003), Bollerslev, Marrone, Xu, and Zhou (2014)
- Merge option data with stock data following Appendix A.1 in Duarte, Lou, and Sadka (2006).

Measuring risk-neutral expectations

- Use European OTM option contracts and follow Bakshi, Kapadia, and Madan (2003)
- We explicitly prove that

$$\mathbb{E}_t^Q [r_{t,t+1}^2 \mathbb{I}(r_{t,t+1} < 0)] \equiv e^{r\tau} V_t^b(\tau)$$

$$\mathbb{E}_t^Q [r_{t,t+1}^2 \mathbb{I}(r_{t,t+1} \geq 0)] \equiv e^{r\tau} V_t^g(\tau)$$

where

$$V_t^b(\tau) = \int_0^{S_t} \frac{1 + \ln(S_t/K)}{K^2/2} P_t(\tau; K) dK$$

$$V_t^g(\tau) = \int_{S_t}^{\infty} \frac{1 - \ln(K/S_t)}{K^2/2} C_t(\tau; K) dK$$

Measuring real-world expectations

- Assume that

$$r_{t,t+1} \mid \mathcal{I}_t \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_t, \sigma_t^2)$$

with

$$\mu_t = \mathbb{E}_t[r_{t,t+1}] = Z_t^\top \beta_\mu \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_t^2 = \mathbb{E}_t[RV_{t,t+1}] = Z_t^\top \beta_\sigma$$

- Therefore

$$\mathbb{E}_t[r_{t,t+1}^2] = \mu_t^2 + \sigma_t^2$$

$$\mathbb{E}_t[r_{t,t+1}^2 \mathbb{I}(r_{t,t+1} < 0)] = (\mu_t^2 + \sigma_t^2) \Phi\left(-\frac{\mu_t}{\sigma_t}\right) - \mu_t \sigma_t \phi\left(\frac{\mu_t}{\sigma_t}\right)$$

$$\mathbb{E}_t[r_{t,t+1}^2 \mathbb{I}(r_{t,t+1} > 0)] = (\mu_t^2 + \sigma_t^2) \Phi\left(\frac{\mu_t}{\sigma_t}\right) + \mu_t \sigma_t \phi\left(\frac{\mu_t}{\sigma_t}\right)$$

Controls: systematic risk

- Downside risk
 - Generalized Disappointment Aversion (GDA) factor exposures, following Farago and Tédongap (forthcoming)
- Regular risk
 - Market bad VRP
 - Market skewness, following Chang, Christoffersen, and Jacobs (2013)

Controls: other firm characteristics

- Other firm characteristics
 - Relative signed jump variation, following Bollerslev, Zhengzi, and Zhao (2017)
 - Firm skewness, following Conrad, Dittmar, and Ghysels (2013)
 - Idiosyncratic volatility, following Ang, Hodrick, Xing, and Zhang (2006)
 - Illiquidity, following Amihud (2002)

Empirical facts

Where we're headed

- **Portfolio sorts**
- **Fama-MacBeth regressions**

Univariate portfolio sorts: bad VRP, good VRP

	Panel A: Firm Bad VRP						Panel B: Firm Good VRP					
	Quintiles						Quintiles					
	1	2	3	4	5	5-1	1	2	3	4	5	5-1
VRP^b	-181.28	7.89	33.87	70.63	243.75		VRP^g	-67.34	-3.06	15.47	43.25	227.63
$E[r]$	-0.52 (-1.16)	0.58 (2.03)	1.10 (3.40)	1.40 (3.12)	1.68 (2.78)	2.19 (5.22)	-0.50 (-1.15)	0.65 (2.20)	0.96 (3.28)	1.01 (2.37)	1.52 (2.59)	2.02 (5.76)
alpha	-0.54 (-1.26)	0.57 (2.07)	1.11 (3.34)	1.42 (3.11)	1.71 (2.78)	2.25 (4.95)	-0.51 (-1.20)	0.63 (2.08)	0.96 (3.20)	1.01 (2.35)	1.56 (2.69)	2.07 (5.82)

Univariate portfolio sorts: total VRP, ARP

	Panel C: Firm Total VRP						Panel D: Firm ARP					
	Quintiles						Quintiles					
	1	2	3	4	5	5-1	1	2	3	4	5	5-1
<i>VRP</i>	-341.78	-24.90	14.25	55.28	256.05		<i>ARP</i>	-102.34	22.73	51.39	97.88	321.14
$E[r]$	0.26 (0.50)	0.74 (2.23)	0.76 (2.59)	1.00 (2.70)	0.91 (1.74)	0.65 (2.19)	-0.66 (-1.75)	0.60 (2.15)	1.19 (3.29)	1.44 (3.25)	2.38 (3.65)	3.04 (6.65)
alpha	0.27 (0.55)	0.72 (2.23)	0.76 (2.51)	0.99 (2.58)	0.97 (1.81)	0.70 (2.20)	-0.71 (-2.02)	0.59 (2.11)	1.19 (3.21)	1.46 (3.32)	2.45 (3.67)	3.16 (6.22)

Bivariate portfolio sorts: downside risk

Panel A: Market Factor								Panel B: Market Downside Factor								Panel C: Downstate Factor							
Quintiles								Quintiles								Quintiles							
	1	2	3	4	5	5-1		1	2	3	4	5	5-1		1	2	3	4	5	5-1			
1	-0.50	-0.52	-0.11	-0.66	-1.28	-0.78	(-1.06)	1	-0.90	-0.11	-0.17	-0.50	-1.13	-0.23	(-0.44)	1	-0.92	-0.47	-0.05	-0.39	-1.16	-0.24	(-0.42)
2	0.24	0.69	0.66	0.72	0.01	-0.23	(-0.41)	2	0.55	0.56	0.80	0.42	0.12	-0.43	(-1.22)	2	0.32	0.67	0.66	0.44	0.27	-0.05	(-0.15)
3	0.89	0.95	0.78	0.85	0.70	-0.19	(-0.33)	3	1.17	0.80	0.91	1.22	1.33	0.16	(0.42)	3	1.13	1.06	0.98	0.94	1.38	0.25	(0.75)
4	1.21	1.20	1.53	1.30	1.46	0.25	(0.44)	4	1.64	1.46	1.60	1.10	1.07	-0.58	(-1.16)	4	1.74	1.90	1.14	1.09	1.23	-0.51	(-0.99)
5	1.29	1.35	1.48	1.45	1.92	0.62	(0.83)	5	2.18	1.83	1.49	0.85	0.91	-1.27	(-2.35)	5	1.70	1.99	1.73	1.20	1.01	-0.69	(-1.45)
5-1	1.79	1.87	1.59	2.11	3.20			3.08	1.93	1.66	1.35	2.04			2.62	2.46	1.78	1.59	2.17				
	(3.62)	(4.75)	(3.59)	(4.80)	(5.15)			(5.26)	(4.16)	(3.76)	(2.86)	(4.11)			(4.44)	(4.74)	(3.81)	(2.92)	(4.07)				

Panel D: Volatility Factor							Panel E: Volatility Downside Factor								
Quintiles							Quintiles								
	1	2	3	4	5	5-1		1	2	3	4	5	5-1		
1	-1.09	-0.30	-0.25	0.04	-0.79	0.30	(0.49)	1	-0.78	0.17	-0.13	-0.53	-1.32	-0.54	(-1.02)
2	0.42	0.52	0.58	0.49	0.43	0.01	(0.03)	2	0.49	0.79	0.66	0.47	0.25	-0.24	(-0.75)
3	0.97	1.20	1.05	1.04	0.81	-0.16	(-0.41)	3	1.02	0.84	1.00	1.13	0.99	-0.03	(-0.10)
4	1.27	1.19	1.10	1.44	1.67	0.40	(0.86)	4	1.68	1.37	1.33	1.05	1.23	-0.45	(-0.90)
5	1.82	1.95	1.43	1.49	1.63	-0.20	(-0.34)	5	1.78	2.08	1.16	1.62	1.42	-0.36	(-0.68)
5-1	2.91	2.25	1.67	1.45	2.41			2.56	1.91	1.28	2.14	2.74			
	(4.95)	(4.33)	(3.90)	(3.22)	(5.02)			(5.14)	(3.87)	(2.66)	(4.01)	(4.34)			

Bivariate portfolio sorts: regular risk

Panel A: Market Bad VRP

	Quintiles					
	1	2	3	4	5	5-1

1	-0.99	-0.28	-0.29	-0.19	-0.59	0.40	(0.95)
2	0.26	0.80	0.75	0.43	0.23	-0.04	(-0.10)
3	1.12	1.09	0.86	1.23	1.14	0.02	(0.05)
4	1.46	1.73	1.18	1.29	1.45	-0.01	(-0.02)
5	1.11	1.45	1.90	1.77	1.69	0.58	(1.21)

Panel B: Market Risk Neutral Skewness

	Quintiles					
	1	2	3	4	5	5-1

1	-0.94	-0.09	-0.14	-0.22	-1.40	-0.46	(-0.83)
2	0.45	0.53	0.47	0.66	0.69	0.24	(0.62)
3	1.25	1.17	0.76	0.95	1.29	0.04	(0.10)
4	1.21	1.57	1.30	1.51	1.05	-0.16	(-0.35)
5	1.59	1.42	1.55	1.93	1.59	-2.2e-3	(-3.7e-3)

5-1	2.09	1.73	2.20	1.95	2.28		
	(4.11)	(3.14)	(4.25)	(3.80)	(4.47)		
	2.53	1.51	1.69	2.16	2.99		
	(4.17)	(2.93)	(3.88)	(4.02)	(4.89)		

Bivariate portfolio sorts: other firm characteristics – 1

Panel A: Illiquidity								Panel B: Idiosyncratic Volatility							
Quintiles								Quintiles							
	1	2	3	4	5	5-1		1	2	3	4	5	5-1		
1	0.12	-0.26	-0.91	-1.23	-1.96	-2.08	(-4.33)	0.51	-0.13	-0.79	-1.47	-2.26	-2.76	(-4.11)	
2	0.69	0.68	0.42	0.44	0.19	-0.51	(-1.39)	0.69	0.57	0.52	0.05	-0.52	-1.21	(-2.20)	
3	0.72	1.01	1.04	1.05	0.94	0.21	(0.58)	0.76	0.83	0.84	1.30	0.76	1.3e-3	(2.5e-3)	
4	1.20	1.30	1.51	1.43	1.80	0.60	(1.82)	1.19	1.39	1.13	1.31	1.15	-0.04	(-0.08)	
5	1.50	1.73	1.36	2.17	1.04	-0.46	(-1.06)	1.40	1.82	1.76	1.47	1.49	0.09	(0.14)	
5-1	1.37	1.99	2.26	3.41	2.99			0.90	1.95	2.55	2.94	3.75			
	(3.82)	(5.64)	(5.63)	(7.45)	(7.61)			(3.04)	(5.31)	(5.60)	(4.92)	(5.27)			

Bivariate portfolio sorts: other firm characteristics – 2

Panel C: Risk Neutral Skewness

	Quintiles					
	1	2	3	4	5	5-1

1	-0.09	-0.08	-0.61	-0.99	-1.19	-1.09	(-2.55)
2	0.65	0.77	0.72	0.46	0.34	-0.31	(-1.11)
3	1.05	1.40	1.14	1.07	0.99	-0.06	(-0.21)
4	1.61	1.41	1.68	1.64	1.59	-0.02	(-0.06)
5	1.22	1.82	1.92	2.05	2.02	0.80	(1.75)

Panel D: Relative Signed Jump Variation

	Quintiles					
	1	2	3	4	5	5-1

1	-1.22	-0.64	-0.37	-0.54	-0.09	1.13	(2.47)
2	0.18	0.65	0.53	0.43	0.45	0.27	(0.83)
3	1.30	1.05	1.22	1.16	1.13	-0.17	(-0.51)
4	1.51	1.63	1.34	1.08	1.50	-0.01	(-0.02)
5	1.08	1.63	1.53	1.79	1.99	0.91	(1.48)

5-1	1.31	1.90	2.53	3.04	3.20		
	(2.64)	(3.86)	(4.81)	(6.59)	(6.91)		
	2.30	2.27	1.90	2.33	2.08		
	(4.37)	(4.24)	(3.47)	(4.72)	(4.17)		

Fama-MacBeth regressions: systematic risk

	I		II		III		IV		V		VI		VII	
Cst	0.01 (1.61)	Cst	2.7e-3 (0.65)	Cst	0.01 (2.47)	Cst	0.01 (2.53)	Cst	0.01 (2.71)	Cst	0.01 (3.16)	Cst	0.01 (2.80)	
<i>VRP</i>	0.05 (1.56)	<i>VRP^b</i>	0.47 (8.18)	<i>VRP^b</i>	0.47 (8.42)	<i>VRP^b</i>	0.47 (8.51)	<i>VRP^b</i>	0.50 (8.93)	<i>VRP^b</i>	0.47 (8.93)	<i>VRP^b</i>	0.49 (8.91)	
		<i>VRP^g</i>	0.63 (9.32)	<i>VRP^g</i>	0.65 (10.84)	<i>VRP^g</i>	0.66 (10.90)	<i>VRP^g</i>	0.70 (11.79)	<i>VRP^g</i>	0.69 (11.73)	<i>VRP^g</i>	0.69 (11.55)	
			$\beta_{m,CAPM}$	-3.3e-3 (-1.07)	$\beta_{m,SKEW}$	-3.3e-3 (-1.10)	$\beta_{m,BTZ}$	-3.3e-3 (-1.09)	$\beta_{m,CH}$	-2.6e-3 (-0.99)	$\beta_{m,W}$	-3.3e-3 (-1.07)		
					β_{MSKEW}	0.07 (1.01)	β_{MVRP^b}	5.5e-6 (0.27)	β_{smb}	-2.5e-3 (-2.20)	β_X	-4.4e-3 (-2.09)		
							β_{MVRP^g}	-1.2e-5 (-1.06)	β_{hml}	-2.2e-4 (-0.16)	β_D	0.22 (2.34)		
							β_{VIX}	5.9e-6 (0.17)	β_{mom}	1.3e-3 (0.55)	β_{WD}	7.7e-6 (1.28)		
											β_{XD}	9.2e-6 (1.71)		
Adj. R^2	0.77		2.33		5.88		6.24		7.40		9.75		7.32	

Fama-MacBeth regressions: other firm characteristics

	I	II		VIII		IX	
Cst	7.1e-3 (1.61)	Cst	2.7e-3 (0.65)	Cst	2.9e- (0.69)	Cst	-5.4e-3 (-0.24)
<i>VRP</i>	0.05 (1.56)	<i>VRP^b</i>	0.47 (8.18)	<i>VRP^b</i>	0.47 (8.26)	<i>VRP^b</i>	0.64 (4.05)
		<i>VRP^g</i>	0.63 (9.32)	<i>VRP^g</i>	0.63 (9.31)	<i>VRP^g</i>	1.11 (5.56)
				<i>RSJ</i>	-6.5e-3 (-1.81)	<i>RSJ</i>	5.6e-3 (1.51)
						<i>IVOL</i>	-0.38 (-2.74)
						Others	... (...)
Adj. R^2	0.77	Adj. R^2	2.33	Adj. R^2	3.27	Adj. R^2	14.59

Summary and conclusion

Summary and conclusion

- Large literature on the relationship between VRP and expected returns
- Mostly time series predictability analysis
- We focus on cross-sectional tests using a large cross-section of individual stocks
- We decompose the total VRP into bad and good components that capture insurance costs and compensation benefits for fluctuations in the realized variation in negative and positive stock returns, respectively
- We find strong evidence of a positive cross-sectional relationship between individual firm VRP components and expected returns
- Interesting empirical extension would be to look at the cross-section of other assets such as currencies and commodities

References

- Amihud, Y., 2002. Illiquidity and Stock Returns: Cross-section and Time-series Effects. *Journal of Financial Markets* 5, 31–56.
- Ang, A., R. J. Hodrick, Y. Xing, X. Zhang, 2006. The Cross Section of Volatility and Expected Returns. *Journal of Finance* 11(1), 259–299.
- Bakshi, G., N. Kapadia, D. Madan, 2003. Stock Return Characteristics, Skew Laws, and the Differential Pricing of Individual Equity Options. *Review of Financial Studies* 16(1), 101 – 143.
- Bollerslev, T., J. Marrone, L. Xu, H. Zhou, 2014. Stock Return Predictability and Variance Risk Premia, Statistical Inference and International Evidence. *Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis* 3(49), 633–661.
- Bollerslev, T., G. Tauchen, H. Zhou, 2009. Expected Stock Returns and Variance Risk Premia. *Review of Financial Studies* 22(11), 4463–4492.
- Bollerslev, T., L. S. Zhengzi, B. Zhao, 2017. Good Volatility, Bad Volatility, and the Cross-Section of Stock Returns. Working Paper.
- Carr, P., L. Wu, 2009. Variance Risk Premiums. *Review of Financial Studies* 22(3), 1311–1341.
- Chang, B. Y., P. Christoffersen, K. Jacobs, 2013. Market skewness risk and the cross section of stock returns. *Journal of Financial Economics* 107(1), 46 – 68.
- Conrad, J., R. F. Dittmar, E. Ghysels, 2013. Ex Ante Skewness and Expected Stock Returns. *Journal of Finance* 68 (1), 85–124.
- Duarte, J., X. Lou, R. Sadka, 2006. Can Liquidity Events Explain the Low-Short-Interest Puzzle? Implications from the Options Markets. Working Paper.
- Farago, A., R. Tédongap, forthcoming. Downside Risks and the Cross-Section of Asset Returns. *Journal of Financial Economics*.
- Feunou, B., M. Jahan-Parvar, C. Okou, forthcoming. Downside Variance Risk Premium. *Journal of Financial Econometrics*.
- Feunou, B., M. R. Jahan-Parvar, R. Tédongap, 2013. Modeling Market Downside Volatility. *Review of Finance* 17(1), 443–481.
- Kilic, M., I. Shaliastovich, forthcoming. Good and Bad Variance Premia and Expected Returns. *Management Science*.
- Markowitz, H., 1952. Portfolio Selection. *Journal of Finance* 7(1), 77–91.
- Patton, A., K. Sheppard, 2015. Good Volatility, Bad Volatility: Signed Jumps and the Persistence of Volatility. *Review of Economics and Statistics* 97(3), 683–697.
- Roy, A. D., 1952. Safety first and the holding of assets. *Econometrica* 20, 431–449.